

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/749,468	12/31/2003	Adam H. Molina	047711-0334	3984	
23392 FOLEY & LA	7590 01/03/2007 LARDNER		EXAM	EXAMINER	
2029 CENTURY PARK EAST			. SMITH, PAUL B		
SUITE 3500 LOS ANGELI	ES. CA 90067		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	·		3763		
CHARTENED STATISTA	DA BEBIOD OF BESDONSE	MAIL DATE	DEI IVED	V MODE	
SHORTENED STATUTO	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVER	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MC	ONTHS	01/03/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/749,468	MOLINA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Paul B. Smith	3763				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 Oc	ctober 2006.					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
.—						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-42 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-31 and 38-42 is/are 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 32-37 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 32-37 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	withdrawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 31 December 2003 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	re: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objector drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5/5/2005 1/7/2005 6/25/2004.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate				

Art Unit: 3763

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The information disclosure statements (IDS) submitted on 5/5/2005, 1/7/2005, and 6/25/2004 are acknowledged. The submission is in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97. Accordingly, the examiner considers the references cited therein.

Claim Objections

2. Claims 32-37 are objected to because of the following informalities: the abbreviation COPE makes the claims unclear. Please, replace COPE with the proper full name, copolyester ether. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 32-34 and 37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Mueller ('767)
- 5. Mueller discloses medical solution tubing comprising an inner layer (26), an intermediate layer (24) and an outer layer (22). Wherein said intermediate layer is

Art Unit: 3763

composed of a blend of an EVA-based polymeric adhesive and an elastomeric copolyester. (See Column 4 Line 25-35) A coextrusion process manufactures the medical solution tubing. (See Column 4 Line 35-45)

6. It appears that Mueller discloses every element of claims 32-34 and 37.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 9. Claims 35 and 36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mueller ('767) in view of Karsten ('394).
- 10. Mueller discloses medical solution tubing comprising an inner layer (26), an intermediate layer (24) and an outer layer (22). Wherein said intermediate layer is

Art Unit: 3763

composed of a blend of an EVA-based polymeric adhesive and an elastomeric copolyester. (See Column 4 Line 25-35) A coextrusion process manufactures the medical solution tubing. (See Column 4 Line 35-45)

- 11. Mueller fails to disclose tubing comprising a plurality of copolyester layers.
- 12. Karsten teaches a tube comprising an inner layer, an interlayer and an outer layer. Said inner layer and outer layer are composed of copolyester. (See Abstract)
- 13. It is obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the disclosure of Mueller with the teachings of Karsten to provide a medical tube comprising multiple layers of copolyester.

Conclusion

- 14. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
 - a. U.S. Patent 4,948,643 A to Mueller
- 15. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Paul B. Smith whose telephone number is 571-272-6022. The examiner can normally be reached on 8 am 4 pm.

Art Unit: 3763

16. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nicholas Lucchesi can be reached on 571-272-4977. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

17. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Paul B Smith Examiner Art Unit 3763

Page 5

PBS December 19, 2006